red question 303 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 18th July, 1991 and state:

(a) what was the price of each for mulation pack of Betamethasone of M/s Glaxo which was stayed by Delhi High Court;

(b) when this stay was granted and upto what period it lasted;

(c) what was the price of each formulation pack to which the company was entitled but operation of which was stayed; and

(d) upto what period the company continued to enjoy the price of each formulation pack as stayed by Delhi High Court?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) to (d) M/s Glaxo's "Writ Petition in the Delhi High Court, as amended, against the tentative liabilities of Rs. 71.78 crores for the period from 1981 to 1987 communicated to it by the Government is still pending and further action on the liabilities has been stayed by the court. The matter is, therefore, sub-*judice*. The case is being contested by the Government.

**Under assessment of liability of M/s. Glaxo**

1622. SHRI BEKAL UTSAHI: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to answer to the Unstarred question 303 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 18th July, 1991 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that assessment of liability of M/s Glaxo of Rs. 37 crores upto August, 1987 amounted to under assessment;

(b) what are the basis on which earlier and present liability of Rs. 71.72 crores were made and what are the full details and packwise basis of both the assessments;

(c) whether it is a fact that no enquiry has been made so far on short assessment and startling revelation; and

(d) if so, what steps are being taken to investigate the matter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) to (d) The tentative liability of M/s Glaxo, for the period from 1981 to 1987, was revised from Rs. 37 crores to Rs. 71.78 crores consequent upon receipt of additional data from the Company and the review of the computations undertaken in 1990. The company has, however, filed a Writ petition in the Delhi High Court, which is still pending and the matter is, therefore, sub-judice. The question of taking any action because of short assessment made originally, will arise only after the liabilities are finally determined. The case is being contested by the Government.

**Collection of large sums from private producers**

1623. SHRI S. S. AHLUWALIA: Will the Minister of INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Doordarshan has collected a large sum of deposits from the private producers of serials alongwith their application, if so, the number of applications and sum of money collected during the period from December, 1989 to May, 1991;

(b) whether Doordarshan has accepted a large number of productions of these applicants, if so, its number;

(c) the number of serials accepted by Doordarshan year-wise during the last three years upto 1990-91 and during April to May 1991 *vis-u-vis* their back-log to, telecast year-wise; and

(d) whether Government have reviewed the quality of serials ' and telefilms accepted by Doordarshan during the last six months and also of those pending telecast?